



Outbreaks of Shigella sonnei
 Infection Associated with Eating
 Fresh Parsley — United States
 and Canada, July–August 1998

 Impact of Multiple Births on Low
 Birthweight — Massachusetts,

1989–1996

Outbreaks of *Shigella sonnei* Infection Associated with Eating Fresh Parsley — United States and Canada, July-August 1998


In August 1998, the Minnesota Department of Health reported to CDC two restaurant-associated outbreaks of *Shigella sonnei* infections. Isolates from both outbreaks had two closely related pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) patterns that differed only by a single band. Epidemiologic investigations implicated chopped, uncooked, curly parsley as the common vehicle for these outbreaks. Through inquiries to health departments and public health laboratories, six similar outbreaks were identified during July–August (in California [two], Massachusetts, and Florida in the United States and in Ontario and Alberta in Canada). Isolates from five of these outbreaks had the same PFGE pattern identified in the two outbreaks in Minnesota. This report describes the epidemiologic, traceback, environmental, and laboratory investigations, which implicated parsley imported from a farm in Mexico as the source of these outbreaks.

United States

Minnesota. On August 17, the Minnesota Department of Health received reports of shigellosis in two persons who ate at the same restaurant during July 24–August 17 (Figure 1). *S. sonnei* subsequently was isolated from stool samples of 43 ill restaurant patrons; an additional 167 persons had probable shigellosis (diarrhea [three or more loose stools during a 24-hour period] lasting ≥3 days or accompanied by fever). Eight (18%) of 44 restaurant employees had a similar illness; five had laboratory-confirmed *S. sonnei* infection. In a case-control study of 172 ill and 95 well restaurant patrons, five items were associated with illness: water (odds ratio [OR]=1.9; 95% confidence interval [CI]=1.0–3.8), ice (OR=3.7; 95% CI=1.6–8.6), potatoes (OR=2.6; 95% CI=1.5–4.6), uncooked parsley (OR=4.3; 95% CI=2.4–8.0), and raw tomato (OR=1.9; 95% CI=1.0–3.9). In a multivariate analysis, only uncooked parsley (OR=4.3; p<0.01) and ice (OR=6.9; p<0.01) remained significantly associated with illness.

California. On August 5, the Los Angeles County Department of Health Services was notified of two persons with shigellosis who ate at the same restaurant on July 31. Stool samples from six ill restaurant patrons yielded *S. sonnei*; an additional three had probable shigellosis (diarrhea [three or more loose stools during a 24-hour period], or any loose stools accompanied by fever). All 27 foodhandlers denied illness

FIGURE 1. Range of dates of exposure for persons infected with *Shigella sonnei* in outbreaks associated with eating fresh parsley — United States* and Canada, July-August, 1998



^{*}Minnesota and California each reported two outbreaks.

and had stool samples that were negative for *S. sonnei*. In an unmatched comparison with 10 well dining companions, ill patrons were significantly more likely to have eaten foods sprinkled with chopped, uncooked parsley (OR=32.0; 95% Cl=1.8–1381.4).

Massachusetts. On August 11, the Massachusetts Department of Health was notified of six persons who reported illness after eating at a restaurant lunch party on July 30. Stool samples from three persons yielded *S. sonnei*; an additional three had probable shigellosis (diarrhea within 4 days of the July 30 meal). Chopped, uncooked parsley was served on chicken sandwiches and in cole slaw served at the lunch. In a cohort study of 23 lunch attendees, illness was significantly associated with eating chicken sandwiches (relative risk [RR]=10.0; 95% Cl=2.7–37.2) or eating uncooked parsley with any item (RR=10.0; 95% Cl=1.4–70.2). All restaurant employees except one submitted a stool sample for culture; all were negative for *S. sonnei*.

Canada

On August 10, the Ontario Ministry of Health was notified of a family of three persons with *S. sonnei* infection who attended a food fair during July 31–August 3. Laboratory-based surveillance identified 32 additional persons with *S. sonnei* infection who had eaten at a specific kiosk at the fair or at the restaurant that had supplied the kiosk. Of the 35 persons, 20 were questioned about food history; all reported eating a smoked salmon and pasta dish made with fresh chopped parsley. Stool samples from six (38%) of 16 foodhandlers, including the four who handled the parsley, were negative for *S. sonnei*. One child who had eaten at the kiosk was the index patient at a day care center, from which five secondary cases of shigellosis were reported.

Other Investigations

In addition to these four outbreaks, four additional restaurant-associated outbreaks of *S. sonnei* were identified, involving an additional 218 persons with culture-confirmed or probable shigellosis. Of the 111 persons interviewed, 106 (96%) reported eating chopped, uncooked, curly parsley. Isolates from three of these outbreaks (in Minnesota and California in the United States and in Alberta in Canada) matched the outbreak PFGE pattern. In the fourth outbreak (in Florida), one culture-confirmed case was identified; the isolate was not available for PFGE testing.

Traceback and Environmental Investigations

To determine the source(s) of parsley for the seven outbreaks linked by PFGE, state and provincial health departments, CDC, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and the Canadian Food Inspection Agency conducted traceback investigations. Farm A in Baja California, Mexico, was a possible source of parsley served in six of the seven outbreaks; four farms in California were possible sources of parsley in two to four of the seven outbreaks.

Field investigations of farm A by FDA and CDC found that the municipal water that supplied the packing shed was unchlorinated and vulnerable to contamination. This water was used for chilling the parsley in a hydrocooler immediately after harvest and for making ice with which the parsley was packaged for transport. Because the water in the hydrocooler was recirculated, bacterial contaminants in the water supply or on the parsley could have survived in the absence of chlorine and contaminated many boxes of parsley. Farm workers and village residents served by this water system reported drinking bottled water or water from other sources. Workers had limited hygiene education and limited sanitary facilities available on the farm at the time of the outbreak.

Foodhandlers at six (75%) of the eight implicated restaurants reported washing parsley before chopping it. Usually parsley was chopped in the morning and left at room temperature, sometimes until the end of the day, before it was served to customers.

Laboratory Investigations

The Minnesota Department of Health laboratory, which has tested isolates of *S. sonnei* by PFGE routinely since 1995, identified a previously unrecognized PFGE pattern of *S. sonnei* and a closely related pattern that differed by a single band associated with the two outbreaks in Minnesota. The pattern was distributed to other laboratories through PulseNet, the national molecular subtyping network for foodborne disease. In Minnesota and at CDC, strains from all seven outbreaks for which isolates were available for PFGE testing had the outbreak PFGE pattern. Isolates from the seven outbreaks were resistant to ampicillin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, sulfisoxazole, and streptomycin.

Investigators at the University of Georgia Center for Food Safety and Quality Enhancement conducted studies to determine the effects of temperature and handling on the growth and survival of *S. sonnei* on parsley. Colony-forming units of *S. sonnei* per gram (cfu/g) decreased by approximately 1 log per week on parsley, whether chopped or whole, under refrigeration (39 F [4 C]). In contrast, *S. sonnei* counts increased on parsley kept at room temperature (70 F [21 C]). On whole parsley, the

increase was limited to 1 log cfu/g during the first 1–2 days, but on chopped parsley a 3 log cfu/g increase was observed within 24 hours.

Reported by: L Crowe, W Lau, L McLeod, Calgary Regional Health Authority; CM Anand, Provincial Laboratory of Southern Alberta; B Ciebin, C LeBer, Ontario Ministry of Health; S McCartney, Ottawa-Carleton Health Unit; R Easy, C Clark, F Rodgers, National Enterics Laboratory, Health Canada; A Ellis, Health Canada; A Thomas, L Shields, B Tate, A Klappholz, I LaBerge, Canadian Food Inspection Agency. R Reporter, H Sato, E Lehnkering, L Mascola, Los Angeles County Dept of Health Svcs, Los Angeles; J Waddell, S Waterman, State Epidemiologist, California Dept of Health Svcs. J Suarez, Miami-Dade County Health Dept, Miami; R Hammond, R Hopkins, State Epidemiologist, Florida Dept of Health. P Neves, Massachusetts Div of Food and Drugs; MS Horine, P Kludt, A DeMaria, Jr, State Epidemiologist, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health. C Hedberg, J Wicklund, J Besser, D Boxrud, B Hubner, M Osterholm, State Epidemiologist, Minnesota Dept of Health. FM Wu, L Beuchat, Center for Food Safety and Quality Enhancement, Univ of Georgia, Athens, Georgia. Food and Drug Administration. Epidemiology Br, Div of Parasitic Diseases; Hospital Environment Laboratory Br, Hospital Infections Program; Foodborne and Diarrheal Diseases Br, Div of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; and EIS officers, CDC.

Editorial Note: *S. sonnei* is a common cause of gastroenteritis, accounting for 10,262 (73%) of the 14,071 laboratory-confirmed *Shigella* infections reported to CDC in 1996 (1). Humans and other primates are the only reservoirs for *S. sonnei*, and transmission occurs through the fecal-oral route. As few as 10–100 organisms can cause infection, enabling person-to-person transmission where hygienic conditions are compromised. In the United States, *S. sonnei* primarily infects young children and is a common cause of diarrheal outbreaks in child care centers (2). Although reported infrequently, foodborne outbreaks of shigellosis have been associated with raw produce, including green onions (3), iceberg lettuce (4–7), and uncooked baby maize (8).

Before the outbreak described in this report, PFGE was not used routinely by most state public health laboratories to subtype isolates of *S. sonnei*, making it difficult to detect clusters or outbreaks. This investigation demonstrated how the routine use of PFGE and PulseNet can link clusters of *S. sonnei* infections in widely dispersed geographic areas. This same technology is now used widely for comparing isolates of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. CDC, in consultation with the Minnesota Department of Health, is developing a standard protocol for PFGE subtyping of *S. sonnei* isolates by PulseNet laboratories.

In the outbreak described in this report, isolates were resistant to many antimicrobial agents, including ampicillin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, which are commonly used to treat shigellosis. This highly resistant pattern is seen more frequently in countries other than the United States. During 1985–1995, antimicrobial resistance among *Shigella* increased substantially in the United States (9): resistance to ampicillin increased from 32% to 67%, resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole increased from 7% to 35%, and resistance to both agents increased from 6% to 19%. A history of international travel was the strongest risk factor for *Shigella* infection resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (9).

The findings in this report indicate that several changes in food storage and food preparation procedures are needed. In restaurants, foodhandling practices such as pooling large batches of parsley for chopping and holding chopped parsley at room temperature increase the risk that sporadic low-level bacterial contamination will lead to outbreaks of gastrointestinal illness. When fresh produce is chopped, the release of nutrients may provide a favorable medium for bacterial growth. The risk for outbreaks

can be reduced by storing chopped parsley for shorter times, keeping it refrigerated, and chopping smaller batches (10). Changes in parsley production on the farm (e.g., the use of adequately chlorinated water for chilling and icing parsley, education of farm workers on proper hygiene, and possibly the use of post-harvest control measures such as irradiation) may be necessary to ensure that produce is not contaminated with pathogens.

References

- Foodborne and Diarrheal Diseases Branch. Shigella surveillance: annual tabulation summary, 1996. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC, National Center for Infectious Diseases, Division of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, Foodborne and Diarrheal Diseases Branch, 1997.
- 2. Mohle-Boetani JC, Stapleton M, Finger R, et al. Communitywide shigellosis: control of an outbreak and risk factors in child day-care centers. Am J Public Health 1995;85:812–6.
- 3. Cook K, Boyce T, Langkop C, et al. A multistate outbreak of *Shigella flexneri* 6 traced to imported green onions. Presented at the 35th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. San Francisco, California, September 1995.
- 4. Martin DL, Gustafson TL, Pelosi JW, Suarez L, Pierce GV. Contaminated produce—a common source for two outbreaks of *Shigella* gastroenteritis. Am J Epidemiol 1986;124:299–305.
- 5. Davis H, Taylor JP, Perdue JN, et al. A shigellosis outbreak traced to commercially distributed shredded lettuce. Am J Epidemiol 1988;128:1312–21.
- 6. Kapperud G, Rorvik LM, Hasseltvedt V, et al. Outbreak of *Shigella sonnei* infection traced to imported iceberg lettuce. J Clin Microbiol 1995;33:609–14.
- 7. Frost JA, McEvoy MB, Bentley CA, Andersson Y. An outbreak of *Shigella sonnei* infection associated with consumption of iceberg lettuce. Emerging Infectious Diseases 1995;1:26–9.
- 8. Mølbak K, Neimann J. Outbreak in Denmark of *Shigella sonnei* infections related to uncooked "baby maize" imported from Thailand. Eurosurveillance Weekly 1998;2:980813. Available at http://www.outbreak.org.uk/1998/980813.html>. Accessed April 2, 1999.
- 9. Cook K, Boyce T, Puhr N, Tauxe R, Mintz E. Increasing antimicrobial-resistant *Shigella* infections in the United States. Presented at the 36th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. New Orleans, Louisiana, September 1996.
- 10. Wu FM, Doyle MP, Beuchat LR, Mintz E, Swaninathan B. Factors influencing survival and growth of Shigella sonnei on parsley. Presented at the sixth annual meeting of the Center for Food Safety and Quality Enhancement. Atlanta, Georgia, March 1999.

Impact of Multiple Births on Low Birthweight — Massachusetts, 1989–1996

In Massachusetts during 1989–1996, perinatal health indicators such as infant mortality, teen birth rate, and maternal smoking during pregnancy decreased steadily; however, low birthweight (LBW) (i.e., <2500 g [<5 lbs, 8 oz]) rates increased consistently (1). During this same period, the multiple-birth rate (i.e., number of twins and higher order multiple births per 100 live births) increased from 2.5% in 1989 to 3.5% in 1996. Massachusetts has the highest multiple-birth rate in the United States (2,3). Multiple births are more likely to result in LBW infants (2). To determine the effect of changes in the rate of multiple births on LBW rates and to characterize women who have multiple births, the Massachusetts Department of Public Health examined data on births in Massachusetts during 1989–1996. This report summarizes the results of this analysis, which indicate that the increase in LBW rates in Massachusetts was associated with changes in the rate of multiple births, especially among older, better educated women.

Low Birthweight — Continued

Data were derived from birth certificates of infants born to women who resided in Massachusetts during 1989–1996. Plurality is classified as singleton, twin, and triplets-plus (i.e., multiple births of three or more infants). Two categories of maternal education were analyzed: high school education or less and four or more years of college. Adjusted LBW rates were calculated by applying the plurality-specific LBW rate in a given year to the plurality distribution in 1989. The adjusted LBW rate can be interpreted as the LBW rate in a given year had the plurality distribution been the same as in 1989. The difference between the adjusted and unadjusted LBW rates indicates the effect of the change in the distribution of plurality on LBW rates.

From 1989 to 1996, the LBW rate for singletons remained constant at 4.8% (Table 1). However, the proportion of twins increased from 2.4% to 3.3%, and the LBW rate among twins increased slightly from 45.8% to 48.2%. The largest plurality-specific increase in births was among triplets-plus, which increased from 0.1% of all births in 1989 to 0.2% in 1996. The LBW rate for triplets-plus did not change substantially from 1989 through 1996, ranging from 84% to 92%.

The unadjusted LBW rate increased 8% during 1989–1996 (Table 1). However, when LBW rates were adjusted for the increase in multiple births, LBW rates for 1989 and 1996 were the same (5.9%).

In 1989, multiple-birth rates by maternal education level were similar: for women with a high school education or less, the rate was 2.4%, compared with 2.5% for women with four or more years of college (Table 2). From 1989 to 1996, the proportion of multiple births to women with high school education or less increased from 2.4% to 2.8%, and the proportion of multiple births to women with four or more years of college increased from 2.5% to 4.2%. Among women aged \geq 35 years and with four or more years of college, the proportion of multiple births increased from 3.2% to 5.8%. Although the overall number of births in Massachusetts decreased 12% from 1989 to 1996, the number of multiple births increased 24%. Among women aged \geq 35 years, the number of multiple births more than doubled. From 1989 through 1996, unadjusted LBW rates for infants born to women aged <35 years with a high school education or less declined slightly from 7.1% to 7.0%; among women aged <35 years with four or more years of college, the LBW rate increased 22%, from 4.1% to 5.0%. Among women aged \geq 35 years, LBW rates increased 27% among less educated women (7.8% to 9.8%) and 30% (5.0% to 6.5%) among more educated women.

Reported by: BB Cohen, DJ Friedman, Z Zhang, EB Trudeau, Bur of Health Statistics, Research and Evaluation; DK Walker, M Anderka, S Fogerty, S Franklin, Bur of Family and Community Health, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health. PA McKenna, March of Dimes, Boston, Massachusetts. Div of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

Editorial Note: The findings in this report indicate that the increase in the proportion of multiple births is directly responsible for the increase in crude LBW rates in Massachusetts from 1989 to 1996. These findings are consistent with national data that indicate state LBW rates are affected by twin birth rates (4).

This analysis highlights two issues. First, although multiple births constitute a small proportion of all live-born infants, the large increase in multiple births substantially influences trends in LBW. Routine birthweight surveillance trend data should be adjusted for, or stratified by, plurality. Second, the characteristics of mothers giving birth to LBW infants changed during this time period. For example, in 1989, 59% of LBW infants in Massachusetts were born to women who had a high school education

Low Birthweight — Continued

TABLE 1. Number of births and percentage distribution of births and low birthweight (LBW) infants, by plurality, and unadjusted and adjusted LBW rates* — Massachusetts, 1989–1996

		Single	etons	Tw	ins	Triplet	s-plus [†]	Multiple:		
Year	No. births	% of births	% LBW	% of births	% LBW	% of births	% LBW	% of births	Unadjusted LBW rate	Adjusted LBW rate
1989	91,314	97.5	4.8	2.4	45.8	0.1	87.7	2.5	5.9	5.9
1990	92,460	97.4	4.7	2.5	46.5	0.1	88.9	2.6	5.8	5.8
1991	88,176	97.3	4.7	2.6	47.2	0.1	84.3	2.7	5.9	5.8
1992	87,202	97.2	4.7	2.7	45.3	0.2	87.2	2.8	5.9	5.7
1993	84,627	97.0	4.8	2.8	46.7	0.2	86.8	3.0	6.2	5.9
1994	83,758	96.9	5.0	2.8	47.7	0.3	92.5	3.1	6.4	6.0
1995	81,562	96.8	4.9	3.0	46.5	0.2	90.4	3.2	6.3	6.0
1996	80,167	96.5	4.8	3.3	48.2	0.2	86.1	3.5	6.4	5.9

TABLE 2. Number of births and percentage distribution of multiple births and low birthweight (LBW) infants, by maternal education, age group, and year — Massachusetts, 1989-1996

		Aged <35 years							Aged ≥3		Total*							
	≤H	≤High school			≥4 Years college			ligh sch	ool	≥4 Years college			≤High school			≥4 Years college		
Year	No. births	% LBW	% Multiple	No. births	% LBW	% Multiple	No. births	% LBW	% Multiple	No. births	% LBW	% Multiple	No. births	% LBW	% Multiple	No. births	% LBW	% Multiple
1989	41,453	7.1	2.3	18,761	4.1	2.4	2,641	7.8	3.5	5,381	5.0	3.2	44,095	7.1	2.4	24,143	4.3	2.5
1990	41,325	6.9	2.4	19,614	4.5	2.3	2,939	9.2	3.6	5,898	5.5	3.7	44,264	7.1	2.4	25,512	4.7	3.2
1991	38,789	7.1	2.3	18,933	4.2	3.2	2,906	7.7	3.0	5,938	5.5	4.4	41,698	7.2	2.4	24,872	4.5	3.5
1992	36,585	7.0	2.4	19,578	4.2	3.2	3,095	9.2	3.4	6,319	5.3	4.1	39,682	7.2	2.5	25,897	4.5	3.4
1993	34,310	7.2	2.3	19,712	4.3	3.5	3,187	9.0	3.4	6,490	6.1	5.3	37,500	7.4	2.4	26,205	4.8	3.4
1994	32,713	7.7	2.6	20,051	4.7	3.3	3,121	8.9	3.5	6,987	5.9	5.3	35,834	7.8	2.7	27,038	5.1	3.8
1995	29,759	7.3	2.4	20,250	4.8	3.3	3,155	10.0	4.1	7,479	6.0	5.7	32,915	7.6	2.5	27,730	5.1	3.4
1996	27,054	7.0	2.5	21,402	5.0	3.6	3,146	9.8	4.6	8,075	6.5	5.8	30,200	7.3	2.8	29,477	5.4	4.2

^{*} Numbers may not add to total because of missing data.

^{*} Per 100 live births.

† Multiple births of three or more infants.

Low Birthweight — Continued

or less. By 1996, 43% of LBW infants were born to women who had a high school education or less. From 1989 to 1996, the proportion of LBW infants born to women aged >35 years doubled (from 11% to 22%).

The increase in multiple births and LBW rates, particularly among older women, may result from increased use of fertility drugs and assisted reproduction technologies (5). In Massachusetts in 1996, based on new data recorded on Massachusetts birth certificiates, fertility drugs or assisted reproduction technologies were used by 13% of mothers with multiple-birth deliveries, compared with 0.7% of mothers with singleton births. Massachusetts law, which requires insurance companies, health-maintenance organizations, and medical assistance to cover medically necessary expenses of infertility diagnosis and treatment, and the state's aging birthing population may have increased the use of assisted reproduction technologies (6). In addition, better educated women may be more sophisticated users of reproductive assistance and have the financial resources for the additional costs of fertility treatment.


The findings in this report are subject to at least two limitations. First, newly collected data on birth certificates about use of fertility drugs and assisted reproduction technologies may be underreported. Second, other factors that may affect change in LBW (e.g., smoking) were not included in this analysis.

The public health implications for targeting services for this emerging LBW infant population and their mothers are substantial. Multiple gestation increases morbidity risks for infants and mothers (2,7) and mortality risks for infants (8). Programs such as high-risk infant identification, congenital anomaly surveillance, and delivery of early intervention services must be reevaluated as a result of these changes in the birthing population.

References

- 1. Zhang Z, Cohen BB, Averbach AR. Advance data births: 1996. Boston, Massachusetts: Bureau of Health Statistics, Research and Evaluation, Massachusetts Department of Public Health, March 1998.
- Ventura SJ, Martin JA, Curtin SC, Mathews TJ. Report of final natality statistics, 1996. Hyattsville, Maryland: US Department for Health and Human Services, CDC, National Center for Health Statistics. Monthly vital statistics reports (vol 46, no. 11).
- 3. Martin JA, MacDormand MF, Mathews TJ. Triplet births: trends and outcomes, 1971–94. Vital Health Stat 1997;21(55).
- 4. CDC. State-specific variation in rates of twin births—United States, 1992–1994. MMWR 1997;46: 121–5.
- 5. Wilcox LS, Kiely JL, Melvin CL, Martin MC. Assisted reproductive technologies: estimates of their contribution to multiple births and newborn hospital days in the United States. Fertil Steril 1996;65:361–6.
- 6. American Society for Reproductive Medicine. State infertility insurance laws. Available at http://www.asrm.org/patient/insur.html. Accessed September 3, 1998.
- 7. Keith LG, Papiernick E, Luke B. The costs of multiple pregnancy. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 1991;36: 109–14.
- 8. Kleinman JC, Flower MG, Kessel SS. Comparison of infant mortality among twins and singletons: United States, 1986 and 1983. Am J Epidemiol 1991;133:133–43.

FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending April 10, 1999, with historical data — United States



^{*}Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

TABLE I. Summary — provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending April 10, 1999 (14th Week)

	Cum. 1999		Cum. 1999
Anthrax Brucellosis Cholera Congenital rubella syndrome Cryptosporidiosis* Diphtheria Encephalitis: California* eastern equine* St. Louis* western equine* Hansen Disease Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome* Hemolytic uremic syndrome, post-diarrheal* HIV infection, pediatric*	- 12 - 1 304 - 1 - - - 15 2 6 37	Plague Poliomyelitis, paralytic Psittacosis Rabies, human Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) Streptococcal disease, invasive Group A Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome* Syphilis, congenital [¶] Tetanus Toxic-shock syndrome Trichinosis Typhoid fever Yellow fever	- 9 - 34 549 11 13 5 29 3 71

^{-:} no reported cases *Not notifiable in all states.

^{*}Not notifiable in all states.

† Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID).

† Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention–Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP), last update March 28, 1999.

† Updated from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP.

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 10, 1999, and April 11, 1998 (14th Week)

	AIDS				Esche coli O	richia 157:H7			Нера	ntitis
				mydia	NETSS [†]	PHLIS⁵	Gono		C/N/	
Reporting Area	Cum. 1999*	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998
UNITED STATES	11,513	11,980	136,677	150,349	289	131	74,445	88,345	603	1,199
NEW ENGLAND Maine	542 5	314 8	5,105 193	5,751 244	43 4	30	1,669 15	1,544 11	47	23
N.H.	18	12	263	280	3	1	19	27	-	-
Vt. Mass.	4 367	8 92	132 2,505	92 2,365	3 19	16	14 774	2 569	1 46	2 21
R.I. Conn.	30 118	34 160	596	662	1 13	1 12	148 699	88 847	-	-
MID. ATLANTIC	2,841	3,417	1,416 19,593	2,108 18,394	15	12	9,870	10,320	45	106
Upstate N.Y.	360	426	N	N	12	-	965	1,713	29	90
N.Y. City N.J.	1,441 600	1,933 574	10,053 2,716	9,754 3,136	3	1 -	4,495 1,211	4,324 1,867	-	-
Pa.	440	484	6,823	5,504	N	-	3,199	2,416	16	16
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio	841 147	993 173	20,262 5,998	22,484 7,181	46 24	28 8	13,819 3,528	16,941 4,321	129	133 5
Ind. III.	124 402	257 373	- 7,540	6,248	5 6	7 3	726 5,182	1,668 5,230	3	3 16
Mich.	124	144	5,418	5,392	11	4	3,886	4,294	126	109
Wis. W.N. CENTRAL	44 248	46 207	1,306 4,560	3,663 9,656	N 73	6 17	497 1,558	1,428 4,363	32	- 27
Minn.	38	31	1,572	1,919	24	12	587	643	-	-
Iowa Mo.	29 97	11 100	551 -	1,088 3,523	7 6	2 2	179 -	306 2,291	30	3 22
N. Dak. S. Dak.	3	3 7	102 436	267 440	2	- 1	7 39	29 73	-	-
Nebr.	19	24	714	806	26	-	295	320	-	2
Kans.	56 3,237	31 3,186	1,185	1,613	7 2 8	- 10	451	701 23,867	2 61	- 37
S. ATLANTIC Del.	40	40	29,462 797	30,089 664	1	10 -	22,080 467	380	-	-
Md. D.C.	345 118	335 262	2,189 N	2,252 N	1 -	-	2,255 742	2,489 958	19 -	3
Va.	179 19	230 30	3,341	3,044	6	2 1	2,310	1,980	6 9	1 3
W. Va. N.C.	198	216	630 5,687	1,311 6,162	7	3	144 5,028	433 5,179	-	7
S.C. Ga.	321 349	183 372	5,284 4,157	4,876 6,715	1 2	1 -	2,682 3,142	3,142 5,331	10 1	- 8
Fla.	1,668	1,518	7,377	5,065	10	3	5,310	3,975	16	15
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	493 70	442 65	11,883 1,812	10,611 1,692	18 5	7	9,615 883	10,055 987	33 1	35 7
Tenn.	214	141	3,854	3,460	9	3	2,976	2,919	31	25
Ala. Miss.	110 99	119 117	3,546 2,671	2,789 2,670	4 -	3 1	3,189 2,567	3,542 2,607	1 -	3 -
W.S. CENTRAL	1,182	1,356	15,982	22,120	9	6	9,563	13,362	50	168
Ark. La.	45 121	52 206	1,522 4,724	1,005 3,392	2 3	2 2	711 3,881	1,161 2,931	1 38	2
Okla. Tex.	35 981	71 1,027	2,059 7,677	2,425 15,298	3 1	2	1,086 3,885	1,348 7,922	2 9	166
MOUNTAIN	405	377	7,501	8,079	17	8	1,981	2,176	50	164
Mont. Idaho	4 5	10 8	309 459	256 510	-	- 1	8 26	15 47	4	4 66
Wyo.	2	1	180	197	1	1	7	11	14	40
Colo. N. Mex.	76 13	65 52	2,063 1,126	2,055 1,095	5 1	2	545 198	684 192	9 4	9 23
Ariz. Utah	190 37	127 35	2,168 428	2,775 615	5 5	3 1	843 46	953 67	12 1	- 11
Nev.	78	79	768	576	-	-	308	207	2	11
PACIFIC Wash.	1,724 90	1,688 133	22,329 3,294	23,165 2,984	40 5	24 8	4,290 564	5,717 509	156 2	506 5
Oreg.	45	40	1,383	-	14	10	195	-	4	7
Calif. Alaska	1,562 6	1,481 11	16,493 552	19,036 558	21 -	6	3,344 105	5,003 85	150 -	459 1
Hawaii	21	23	607	587	-	-	82	120	-	34
Guam P.R.	1 411	- 457	- U	81 U	N 3	Ū	83	6 106	-	-
V.I. Amer. Samoa	10	13	N U	N U	N N	Ü	Ü	Ü	U U	U U
C.N.M.I.	-	-	Ň	Ň	N	Ŭ	-	9	-	-

N: Not notifiable

U: Unavailable

-: no reported cases

C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands

^{*}Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention-Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention, last update March 28, 1999.

† National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance.

§ Public Health Laboratory Information System.

TABLE II. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending April 10, 1999, and April 11, 1998 (14th Week)

	Legion	iellosis		me ease	Mai	aria	Syp (Primary &		Tubero	culosis	Rabies, Animal
Reporting Area	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999*	Cum. 1998*	Cum. 1999
UNITED STATES	210	320	948	1,091	261	305	1,544	1,942	1,206	1,972	1,279
NEW ENGLAND	14	19	149	219	3	13	19	21	95	88	222
Maine N.H.	2 2	1 2	-	2 5	-	1	-	1 1	3	3 2	39 15
Vt. Mass.	3 3	1 6	98	2 49	3	- 12	1 13	- 17	49	1 43	43 45
R.I. Conn.	1	4 5	8 43	16 145	-	-	1	2	15 28	12 27	20 60
MID. ATLANTIC	61	71	588	694	71	96	69	78	457	469	279
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	16 5	16 19	212 4	326 18	21 16	23 50	5 30	7 16	56 273	62 291	181 U
N.J.	5	3	97	83	24	14	11	25	128	116	60
Pa. E.N. CENTRAL	35 47	33 128	275 22	267 19	10 17	9 26	23 279	30 273	U 66	U 77	38 9
Ohio	19	43	15	14	4	1	25	51	Ü	Ú	2
Ind. III.	5 2	25 17	5 1	4	4	1 14	32 193	41 120	Ū	Ū	-
Mich. Wis.	20 1	18 25	1 U	1 U	7 2	8 2	27 2	38 23	48 18	49 28	7 -
W.N. CENTRAL Minn.	8	19 1	14 7	9 1	13 2	17 8	6 1	53 3	111 49	92 29	135 26
lowa Mo.	6 1	3 7	2	6	3 7	2	1	39	2 47	44	25 5
N. Dak.	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	30
S. Dak. Nebr.	1 -	7	-	-	-	-	1	4	3 4	4	25 1
Kans.	-	1	4	1	1	1	3	7	5	14	23
S. ATLANTIC Del.	33 2	37 6	112 -	108 2	71 -	60 1	558 1	753 7	185 -	376 5	462 3
Md. D.C.	5 -	8 3	87 1	91 4	20 6	24 3	123 10	209 26	U 12	U 30	99
Va. W. Va.	6 N	3 N	3 2	3 2	12 1	7	41 2	52	17 11	53 17	98 24
N.C.	5	4	15	1	6	7	142	218	89	191	108
S.C. Ga.	5 -	4 -	1 -	2	5	12	68 82	85 73	56 U	80 U	43 46
Fla.	10 8	9	3 13	3 12	21 3	6 9	89	83	U	U 167	41 64
E.S. CENTRAL Ky.	2	11 5	-	2	-	-	291 28	335 38	89 U	Ū	13
Tenn. Ala.	5 1	3 1	5 6	5 5	2 1	4 3	144 78	163 73	U 83	U 105	23 28
Miss.	-	2	2	-	-	2	41	61	6	62	-
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	1 -	2	-	3 2	8 -	5 1	238 25	251 38	53 28	530 22	23
La. Okla.	1 -	-	-	-	6 1	3	67 61	87 13	U 25	U 31	23
Tex.	-	2	-	1	1	1	85	113	-	477	-
MOUNTAIN Mont.	14 -	15 1	3	1 -	13 2	17 -	35 -	74 -	38	66 2	36 16
ldaho Wyo.	-	- 1	- 1	-	1 -	1 -	-	-	-	2 1	8
Colo. N. Mex.	1 1	4 1	- 1	-	4 2	5 6	-	4 7	U 13	U 15	1
Ariz.	1	1	-	-	4	2	33	57	U	U	11
Utah Nev.	5 6	6 1	1 -	1	-	1 2	1 1	2 4	11 14	17 29	-
PACIFIC Wash.	24 4	18 1	47	26 1	62 3	62 1	49 11	104 4	112 58	107 51	49
Oreg. Calif.	20	- 17	1 46	1 24	7 48	6 54	36	100	Ü	U	- 46
Alaska Hawaii	-	-		-	- 4	- 1	1 1		12 42	11 45	3
Guam	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	_ -	-	37	_ -
P.R. V.I.	U	Ū	U	U	Ū	Ū	59 U	61 U	Ū	30 U	21 U
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	U -	U 62	U -	U 40	U -						

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases

^{*}Cumulative reports of provisional tuberculosis cases for 1998 and 1999 are unavailable ("U") for some areas using the Tuberculosis Information Management System (TIMS).

TABLE III. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending April 10, 1999, and April 11, 1998 (14th Week)

	H. influ	ienzae,	Н	epatitis (Vi	ral), by typ	ре			Meas	les (Rubec	ola)		
		sive		4		3	Indi	genous	lmp	orted [†]		tal	
Reporting Area	Cum. 1999*	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	1999	Cum. 1999	1999	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1999	Cum. 1998	
UNITED STATES	316	324	4,087	5,526	1,535	2,238	-	14	1	6	20	13	
NEW ENGLAND	24	22 2	43	97 10	28	35	-	-	-	1	1	1	
Maine N.H.	2 4	1	2 6	10 6	4	4	-	-	-	1	1	-	
Vt. Mass.	3 11	2 17	2 11	6 26	1 17	19	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	
R.I. Conn.	- 4	-	2 20	6 43	6	1 11	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MID. ATLANTIC	40	45	255	43	198	339	_	-	_	-	-	3	
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City	24 4	17 13	70 43	94 164	51 42	83 93	-	-	-	-	-	-	
N.J.	12	14	36	81	27	61	-	-	-	-	-	2	
Pa. E.N. CENTRAL	34	1 48	106 959	103 862	78 1 3 1	102 469	-	-	-	-	-	1 1	
Ohio	21	22	233	105	29	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ind. III.	1 8	5 20	29 130	89 225	4	225 68	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mich. Wis.	4	- 1	565 2	361 82	98	128 26	-	-	-	-	-	1 -	
W.N. CENTRAL	31	13	211	516	86	102	_	-	_	-	-	-	
Minn. Iowa	11 6	4 1	18 38	20 230	13 15	7 13	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mo.	10	4	121	209	48	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	
N. Dak. S. Dak.	1	-	8	2 2	-	1 1	U -	-	U -	-	-	-	
Nebr. Kans.	1 2	4	14 12	13 40	6 4	4 8	-	-	-	-	-	-	
S. ATLANTIC	79	62	503	457	297	252	-	-	1	1	1	5	
Del. Md.	22	16	1 100	106	- 52	- 45	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	
D.C. Va.	2 8	9	16 38	15 77	6 26	3 28	-	-	-	-	-	2	
W. Va.	1	2	4	-	5	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
N.C. S.C.	12 2	8 1	42 5	28 8	63 32	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ga. Fla.	18 14	17 9	139 158	109 114	33 80	57 49	-	-	- 1	- 1	- 1	1 1	
E.S. CENTRAL	27	21	107	129	92	131	_	-	-	-	-	-	
Ky. Tenn.	2 14	5 10	6 72	6 72	7 58	10 96	U	-	U	-	-	-	
Ala. Miss.	10 1	5 1	27 2	31 20	27	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	
W.S. CENTRAL	18	17	437	542	114	199	-	-	-	2	2	-	
Ark. La.	4	., - 7	11 14	13	10 27	25 10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Okla.	12	8	128	127	34	14	-	-	-	-		-	
Tex. MOUNTAIN	2 36	2 58	284 408	394 934	43 142	150 222	-	- 1	-	2	2 1	-	
Mont.	1	-	5	9	7	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ldaho Wyo.	1 1	-	16 1	59 12	7 -	9 2	Ū	-	Ū	-	-	-	
Colo. N. Mex.	2 10	12	85 11	73 51	29 47	30 86	-	1	-	-	1	-	
Ariz.	18	31	233	602	25	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Utah Nev.	3	3 12	16 41	55 73	8 19	19 22	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PACIFIC	27	38	1,164	1,547	447	489	-	13	-	2	15	3	
Wash. Oreg.	13	1 19	77 70	178 126	11 21	35 52	-	8	-	-	8	-	
Calif. Alaska	12 2	15 1	1,014 2	1,218 3	404 7	394 2	-	5	-	2	7	3	
Hawaii	-	2	1	22	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Guam P.R.	-	- 1	- 25	- 13	- 31	- 158	U	-	U	-	-	-	
V.I. Amer. Samoa	Ü	U	U	U	U	U	U	Ü	U	U	Ų	Ü	
C.N.M.I.	U -	U -	U -	U -	U -	U 26	U	U -	U U	U -	U -	U -	

N: Not notifiable

U: Unavailable

^{-:} no reported cases

 $^{^*\}hspace{-0.5em}.$ Of 63 cases among children aged <5 years, serotype was reported for 27 and of those, 4 were type b.

[†]For imported measles, cases include only those resulting from importation from other countries.

TABLE III. (Cont'd.) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending April 10, 1999, and April 11, 1998 (14th Week)

Reporting Area Cum. Cum. Fortus Cum. Cum. Cum. Fortus Cum. Cum. Cum. Fortus Cum. Cum. Cum. Fortus Cum. Cum. Fortus Cum. Cum. Cum. Fortus Cum. Cum. Fortus Cum. Cum. Cum. Cum. Fortus Cum. Cu		Manina		I III	pili i i,	1330 (1 7 (11 V)	reek,						
Image					Mumps			Pertussis			Rubella			
UNITED STATES 725 916 4 100 132 43 1,239 1,169 1 12 130 NEW ENGLAND 36 50 - 1 - 1 126 232 - 3 22 Mainte 3 4 - 1 - 1 126 232 - 3 22 Mainte 3 4 - 1 - 1 126 232 - 3 22 Mainte 3 4 - 1 - 1 126 232 - 3 22 Mainte 3 4 - 1 - 1 126 232 - 3 22 Mainte 3 4 - 1 - 1 10 25 - 2 - 2 Mainte 3 4 - 1 - 1 10 25 - 2 - 2 Mainte 3 4 - 1 - 1 10 25 - 2 - 2 Mainte 3 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 10 25 - 2 - 2 Mainte 3 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 10 25 - 2 - 2 Mainte 3 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 10 25 - 1 - 2 Mainte 3 2 Mainte 3 4 Mainte 3	Reporting Area			1999			1999			1999				
NEW ENGLAND 36														
N.H.														
VI. 2 1				-				- 19		-		-		
R.I. 2 3 3 1 5 5 20 Conn. 3 199 1 1 5 5 5 20 MID. ATLANTIC 72 95 1 1 15 10 5 303 149 1 1 72 Upstate N.Y. 18 24 - 2 2 4 260 80 1 1 67 N.Y. City 18 10 - 3 3 5 - 10 6 1 N.Y. City 18 10 - 3 3 5 - 10 6 1 N.Y. City 18 10 - 3 3 5 - 10 6 1 N.Y. City 18 10 - 3 3 15 - 10 6 1 N.Y. City 18 10 - 3 3 15 - 10 6 1 N.Y. City 18 10 - 3 3 15 - 10 6 7 1 N.Y. City 18 10 3 3 15 10 6 7 1 N.Y. City 18 10 3 3 15 10 6 7 1 N.Y. City 18 10 3 3 15 10 6 7 1 N.Y. City 18 10 6 7	Vt.	2	1	-	-	-	-	10	25		-			
MID. ATLANTIC 72				-										
Upstate N.Y. 18		3		-										
NY. CICITY 18				1 -										
Pa. 20 38 1 100 3 1 33 57	N.Y. City	18	10		3	5	-		6	-	-	1		
Ohio 49 51 - 6 10 - 89 38 -								33		-				
Ind.				-			-			-	-	-		
III.										-	-	-		
Wis.	III.		36					-	8	-	-	-		
Minn. 25 6										-	-	-		
Lowa				-						-	-			
Mo, 33 34 - 1 1 1 - 9 11 1 1				-						-				
S. Dak. Nebr. 2	Mo.	33	34		1	1		9	11	-	-	1		
Kans. 7 15 6 1 S. ATLANTIC 123 135 2 19 15 5 80 84 - 2 11 Del. 2 11 1 5 5 80 84 - 2 11 Del. 19 16 - 3 1	S. Dak.	5	5	-	-				2	-	-	-		
S. ATLANTIC 123 135 2 19 15 5 80 84 - 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				-						-				
Del. 2 1 -				2	19			80		-				
D.C. Va. 16 15 5 - 2 4 4 - 7 6 7 6		2		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		
W.Va. 1	D.C.	1	-		1	-		-	-	-		-		
N.C.										-		-		
Ga.	N.C.	16	22						38			1		
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. 10 13 U	Ga.	16	34	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-		
Ky. 10 13 U - - U 1 2 U - <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>											-	-		
Tenn. 21 27 4 16 6 Ala. Miss. 18 28 - 1 1 1 - 4 9 Ala. Miss. 18 28 - 1 1 1 - 4 9											-	-		
Miss. 5 10 - <td>Tenn.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Tenn.									-	-	-		
Ark. 12 12 12 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -												-		
La. 21 16 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>				-						-				
Tex. 2 20 - 11 22 5 27 44 - 5 26 MOUNTAIN 57 62 - 7 11 5 165 207 5 Mont 2 1 1 1 5 Mont 2 1 1 1 1 Idaho 7 3 2 84 74 1 Wyo. 2 3 U - 1 U 1 7 U	La.	21	16	-	-			-	-	-				
MOUNTAIN 57 62 - 7 11 5 165 207 - - 5 Mont. - 2 - - - - 1 1 - - - - 1 1 1 -				-						-				
Idaho 7 3 - - - 2 84 74 - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>				_						-				
Colo. 18 14 - 2 1 - 24 43 - - - N N N N 2 12 48 - - 1 Nev. 17 22 - - 4 - 20 23 - - 1 Nev. 2 2 2 - 1 1 21 7 - - 2 Nev. 2 2 2 - 1 4 - 2 4 - - 2 Nev. 2 2 2 - 1 4 - 2 4 - - 2 PACIFIC 148 213 1 30 38 18 386 204 - 1 2 Wash. 17 24 - - 4 14 225 76 - - - -				-	-	-			1 74	-	-	-		
N. Mex. 7 10 N N N 2 12 48 - - 1 Ariz. 17 22 - - 4 - 20 23 - - 1 Utah 4 6 - 4 1 1 21 7 - - 2 Nev. 2 2 - 1 4 - 2 4 - - 1 PACIFIC 148 213 1 30 38 18 386 204 - 1 2 Wash. 17 24 - - 4 14 225 76 - - - - Oreg. 25 40 N N N N 4 8 12 - - - - Calif. 99 145 1 26 24 - 149 113 - 1 1 Alaska 3 1 - 1 2 -	Wyo.	2	3				U	1		Ū	-	-		
Ariz. 17 22 - - 4 - 20 23 - - 1 Utah 4 6 - 4 1 1 21 7 - - 2 Nev. 2 2 2 - 1 4 - 2 4 - - 2 PACIFIC 148 213 1 30 38 18 386 204 - 1 2 Wash. 17 24 - - 4 14 225 76 -		18 7							43 48	-	-			
Nev. 2 2 - 1 4 - 2 4 - - 1 PACIFIC 148 213 1 30 38 18 386 204 - 1 2 Wash. 17 24 - - 4 14 225 76 - - - - Oreg. 25 40 N N N 4 8 12 -	Ariz.	17	22		-	4	-	20	23	-	-	1		
Wash. 17 24 - - 4 14 225 76 - - - Oreg. - - - 4 14 225 76 -			2	-						-	-			
Oreg. 25 40 N N N N 4 8 12 -<								386		-				
Calif. 99 145 1 26 24 - 149 113 - 1 1 Alaska 3 1 - 1 2 - 2 - <td></td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>12</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>		25							12	-		-		
Hawaii 4 3 - 3 8 - 2 3 - - 1 Guam - - - U - 2 U - - U - <td< td=""><td>Calif.</td><td>99</td><td>145</td><td></td><td>26</td><td>24</td><td>-</td><td>149</td><td>113</td><td>-</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></td<>	Calif.	99	145		26	24	-	149	113	-	1	1		
P.R. 2 2 1 - 2 V.I. U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U				-					3	-	-	1		
V.I. U U U U U U U U U U U Amer. Samoa U U U U U U U U U U U U U U		-									-	-		
	V.I.	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		

N: Not notifiable

U: Unavailable

-: no reported cases

TABLE IV. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending April 10, 1999 (14th Week)

	-	All Cau	ises, By	Age (Y	•		P&I [†]			All Cau	ises, By	Age (Y	ears)		P&l [†]
Reporting Area	All Ages	>65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	>65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Cambridge, Mass. Fall River, Mass. Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass. Lynn, Mass. New Bedford, Mass New Haven, Conn. Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Mass. Waterbury, Conn.		380 96 17 10 21 54 19 16 21 31 U 1 34	40 7 1 3 7 2 1 3 10 U	23 10 2 - 3 1 1 1 2 U	10 6 - 1 1 1 1 - - U	17 9 - 1 - 2 - - 4 U	41 10 2 2 1 7 2 - 2 U	S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C. Jacksonville, Fla. Miami, Fla. Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va. Savannah, Ga. St. Petersburg, Fla. Tampa, Fla. Washington, D.C. Wilmington, Del.	1,223 U 259 139 144 100 45 50 70 60 194 143	839 U 173 93 104 57 34 37 54 42 150 84	227 U 52 27 27 23 5 10 11 5 23 36 8	102 U 27 9 6 16 3 2 4 8 13 14	30 U 3 5 3 4 1 1 4 5 4	25 U 4 5 4 - 3 - 1 3 5	113 U 33 14 7 1 3 2 8 10 29 6
Worcester, Mass. MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa. Buffalo, N.Y. Camden, N.J. Elizabeth, N.J. Erie, Pa. Jersey City, N.J. New York City, N.Y.	51 2,106 39 24 U 33 18 47 55	1,492 32 19 U 17 10 39 39	8 382 2 5 U 11 3 4	1 156 3 U 2 5 3 5	35 1 U 3 - 1 1	1 41 1 - U - 1 25	9 100 3 3 U 4 - 4	E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Ala. Chattanooga, Tenn. Knoxville, Tenn. Lexington, Ky. Memphis, Tenn. Mobile, Ala. Montgomery, Ala. Nashville, Tenn. W.S. CENTRAL	1,031 211 79 95 97 249 79 76 145	729 143 60 78 65 169 62 59 93	201 42 13 13 19 50 13 11 40 301	62 15 4 3 6 20 2 3 9	23 6 1 1 4 6 1 2 2	14 3 1 3 4 1 1 1	94 29 8 2 17 27 2 6 3
Newark, N.J. Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa. Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa. Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y.	74 39 297 45 32 130 27 36 67 17 16	20 224 27 28 100 19 30 49 11 14	19 7 47 10 3 23 8 3 12 3	99 17 4 - 5 - 3 5 3 - U	4 3 - 1 - - - - U	23 3 5 1 1 1 -	22 3 3 13 - 4 13 1 2 U	Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La. Corpus Christi, Tex. Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La. San Antonio, Tex. Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla.	83 18 55 182 72 117 399 90 161 199 75	50 15 40 117 48 80 249 65 112 143 56 113	22 3 9 35 13 21 94 11 26 33 11 23	6 4 22 8 11 35 6 16 15 3	2 2 3 2 4 15 5 5 4 4	3 5 1 1 6 3 2 3 1 2	4 1 2 5 5 18 39 8 16 13 17
E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Chicago, III. Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind. Fort Wayne, Ind.	2,422 57 45 460 110 187 272 120 205 53 59	1,697 44 31 301 77 125 193 81 106 43 47	10 100 22 43 46 27 52 8	158 1 2 41 5 11 18 5 34 2	47 1 10 3 3 6 2 6	59 5 1 5 3 5 9 5 7	233 2 5 43 16 3 43 12 3 11	MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N.M. Boise, Idaho Colo. Springs, Colo Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, Utah Tucson, Ariz.	115 217 U 60 26	638 85 30 41 75 150 U 40 19 99	165 25 3 8 23 43 U 13 4 23 23	65 9 1 3 11 17 U 3 2 13 6	28 3 1 1 2 3 U 3 - 6 9	21 1 2 4 4 U 1 1 5 3	74 9 2 6 12 8 U 5 2 15
Gary, Ind. Grand Rapids, Micl Indianapolis, Ind. Lansing, Mich. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III. Rockford, III. South Bend, Ind. Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohio	251 32 131 46 62 66 107 75	13 57 173 21 98 36 49 54 83 65	5 51 5 21 5 8 8 18 8	3 15 2 8 - 3 3 4	9 1 2 1 -	1 3 3 2 4 2 1 1	10 26 4 17 5 6 8 12 6	PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Fresno, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawaii Long Beach, Calif. Los Angeles, Calif. Pasadena, Calif. Portland, Oreg. Sacramento, Calif. San Diego, Calif.	1,450 19 84 U 83 96 U 20 128 198	1,056 14 62 U 59 69 U 12 85 154	265 2 15 U 16 17 U 6 27 29 29	77 2 4 U 5 6 U 11 7	26 2 U 1 2 U 1 2 4	22 1 1 U 2 2 U - 3 4	142 1 10 U 6 10 U 3 6 33 12
W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, lowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. Minneapolis, Minn. Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn. Wichita, Kans.	974 164 29 U 148 33 260 109 126 105 U	708 124 23 U 105 24 190 80 81 81 U	27 5 U 25 5 40 18 33 14	57 8 - U 12 3 20 3 6 5 U	23 4 U 5 5 5 1 3 U	19 1 1 1 1 5 3 5 2 U	80 20 1 11 3 26 10 1 8 U	San Diego, Calif. San Francisco, Calif San Jose, Calif. Santa Cruz, Calif. Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash.	143 f. 153 176 39 143 63 105 12,253	106 112 124 33 97 44 85 8,627	26 38 3 27 16 14	6 10 8 1 10 3 3 841	1 3 2 5 - - 272	1 1 3 - 4 - - 245	12 19 19 5 6 6 6 1,015

U: Unavailable -: no reported cases

*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included.

†Pneumonia and influenza.

Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks.

Total includes unknown ages.

Contributors to the Production of the *MMWR* (Weekly) Weekly Notifiable Disease Morbidity Data and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Samuel L. Groseclose, D.V.M., M.P.H.

State Support Team Robert Fagan Scott Connolly Gerald Jones David Nitschke Carol A. Worsham

CDC Operations TeamCarol M. Knowles
Deborah A. Adams Willie J. Anderson Patsy A. Hall Amy K. Henion

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy on Friday of each week, send an e-mail message to listserv@listserv.cdc.gov The body content should read SUBscribe mmwr-toc Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at http://www.cdc.gov/ or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at ftp.cdc.gov. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 512-1800.

Data in the weekly MMWR are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the MMWR Series, including material to be considered for publication, to: Editor, MMWR Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (888) 232-3228.

All material in the MMWR Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Director, Centers for Disease Control Director, Epidemiology Program Office and Prevention Jeffrey P. Koplan, M.D., M.P.H. Deputy Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Claire V. Broome, M.D.

Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc. Editor, MMWR Series John W. Ward, M.D. Managing Editor, MMWR (weekly) Karen L. Foster, M.A.

Writers-Editors, MMWR (weekly) Jill Crane David C. Johnson Teresa F. Rutledge Caran R. Wilbanks Desktop Publishing Morie M. Higgins Peter M. Jenkins

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1999-733-228/87070 Region IV